

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,053	12/11/2003	James Dennis Stell	NITP:101US	9168	
75	90 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
S. Peter Konzel			HOOK, JAMES F		
Simpson & Sim	pson, PLLC				
5555 Main Stree			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Williamsville,	NY 14221		3754		
			DATE MAILED: 00/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/734,053	STELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		James F. Hook	3754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tircuit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Dienociti	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 13-19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). .jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen		0 □	(DTO 442)	
2) Notic 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gras in view of Smith. The patent to Gras discloses the recited noise reducing device for a pressurized fluid system comprising a housing 7 comprising an inlet end provided with a plate 8 having a plurality of orifices where at least one orifice passes fluid therethrough, the inlet end orifices comprise an inlet face and an outlet face, the inlet end orifices create a back pressure upstream which is described as being from a low pressure to a high pressure which suggests inherently that at least a 5 psig pressure would be seen, a diffusing pack material 14, and an outlet end of the housing containing at least one outlet orifice 13,13',13", where the diffusing pack material is formed of woven mesh or wound knitted fine metal wire such as stainless steel as can the housing. The patent to Gras discloses inherently suggests that high back pressures are seen, however, as supported by Smith these type of noise reduction devices when used to silence high pressure fluids such as gases, as high as 700 psig is seen. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device of Gras to create back pressures exceeding 5 psig where such is considered obvious in light of the teachings of Smith that pressures seen by such

Art Unit: 3754

systems range in the 700 range which would suggest high back pressures, at least higher than 5 psig as suggested by Smith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gras in view of Barber (037). The patent to Gras discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of the specific type of diffusing pack material used, the manner in which it is formed, and various dimensions. The patent to Barber discloses the recited noise reducing device comprising a housing 12 comprising an inlet and an outlet end, the inlet end and outlet end comprising at least one orifice for passing a fluid there through, including gases, the inlet end has an inlet and outlet face where backpressure is maintained upstream from the inlet orifice end, a diffusing pack material 26 disposed within the housing, the pack material maintaining contact with the outlet face of the inlet orifice, the diffusing pack can be formed of monofilament wire knitted to form a mesh, where such can be wound to thereby overlap itself which forms a plurality of folded mesh layers, where at least one stiffener in the form of a wire screen can be provided to hold the mesh together, the mesh and screen are formed of heat and oxidation resistant material such as stainless steel, where a plurality of diffusing packs can be provided in

Application/Control Number: 10/734,053 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

the housing thereby providing for a first knitted wire mesh, a second wire screen layer proximate to the first layer, a third layer of knitted mesh, and a forth wire screen layer proximate to the outlet end and contacting such as it is formed around the end wire mesh layer which contacts the outlet end. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the diffusing material in Gras to be formed of the same type of material as used in Barber having the same properties as suggested by Barber where such would have properties equivalent to the diffusing material in Gras but would be stronger and less likely to fail prematurely as such is a tougher material.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It was considered that in light of the new rejection applying new art and supplying a new teaching reference there was no clear subject to talk about without applicant having the chance to consider the newly made rejection, therefore no interview was deemed necessary at this time, however, should applicant wish to discuss this rejection further the examiner will grant an interview upon request.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3754

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James F. Hook
Primary Examine
Art Unit 3754

JFH